



Construction of a short path in high dimensional First Passage Percolation

Olivier Couronné, Nathanaël Enriquez, Lucas Gerin

► To cite this version:

Olivier Couronné, Nathanaël Enriquez, Lucas Gerin. Construction of a short path in high dimensional First Passage Percolation. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 2011, 16, pp.22–28. hal-00512399v2

HAL Id: hal-00512399

<https://hal.science/hal-00512399v2>

Submitted on 23 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Construction of a short path in high dimensional First Passage Percolation

Olivier Couronné, Nathanaël Enriquez, Lucas Gerin

February 23, 2011

Abstract

For First Passage Percolation in \mathbb{Z}^d with large d , we construct a path connecting the origin to $\{x_1 = 1\}$, whose passage time has optimal order $\log d/d$. Besides, an improved lower bound for the "diagonal" speed of the cluster combined with a result by Dhar (1988) shows that the limiting shape in FPP with exponential passage times (and thus that of Eden model) is not the euclidian ball in dimension larger than 35.

Keywords: First passage percolation, time constant, limit shape.

AMS classification: 82C43

1 Introduction

Let $\{\tau(x, y), (x, y) \text{ edges of } \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ be a family of i.i.d. positive random variables. The quantity $\tau(x, y)$ is called the *passage time* through edge (x, y) . For a *path* $\mathcal{P} : x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_n$ of neighbouring vertices, we denote by $\tau(\mathcal{P})$ the passage time along \mathcal{P} : $\tau(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_{i-1}, x_i)$. The family $\{\tau(x, y)\}$ defines a random distance over \mathbb{Z}^d as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}(x, y) = \inf \{ \tau(\mathcal{P}) ; \mathcal{P} \text{ goes from } x \text{ to } y. \}.$$

We also set $B_t := \{x; \mathcal{D}(0, x) \leq t\}$.

This model is called first passage percolation. We refer to Kesten's St-Flour Lecture Notes [5] for a nice introduction to the subject. In this work we focus on the case where the common distribution of the passage times is the exponential distribution with parameter one. This case has received a particular attention for at least two reasons:

- The process $t \mapsto B_t$ is then a Markov process. This is a consequence of the memorylessness property of the exponential random variable.
- Consider the random process B_t only at random times at which a new vertex is added. Namely, set $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{k+1} = \inf \{t > t_k ; B_t \neq B_{t_k}\}$ and look at the sequence B_{t_0}, B_{t_1}, \dots . This discrete process is known as the Eden growth process [4], which was introduced as a (very) simplified model for cells spread: to B_{t_k} one adds a new vertex x adjacent to B_{t_k} , with a probability which is proportional to the number of edges between x and B_{t_k} .

We denote the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^d by (e_1, \dots, e_d) . For a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the random variable $T(A)$ is the first time the cluster B_t hits A , that is,

$$T(A) = \min \{t \geq 0 ; B_t \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We will consider $T(A)$ for the particular set $H_n = \{x_1 = n\}$. Subadditivity theory was historically introduced by Hammersley and Welsh to show that the sequence $T(n, 0, \dots, 0)/n$ converges almost surely to a constant $\mu = \mu(d)$, which is called the *time constant*. It can be seen as a consequence of the work of Cox and Durrett [1] that μ is also the limit

$$\mu = \lim_{\text{a.s.}} \frac{T(H_n)}{n}.$$

Kesten ([5], Th.8.2) was the first to prove that, for a large class of distributions for the τ 's, the constant μ is of order $\log d/d$. His result was later improved by Dhar for exponential passage times.

Theorem (Dhar [3]). *For exponential passage times,*

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \mu(d) \frac{d}{\log d} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Some numerical computations are included in [3], showing that the convergence is quite fast. Our aim in the present paper is to provide for a constructive proof of the fact that $\mu = \mathcal{O}(\log d/d)$, by exhibiting a path going from the origin to H_1 with small passage time. This path, except its last edge, is included in H_0 .

2 A path \mathcal{P} connecting 0 to $\{x_1 = 1\}$

A tree-like construction of paths

Fix an integer $\ell \geq 2$, and p_1, \dots, p_ℓ a collection of ℓ integers larger than 1. We want to associate to these integers a path $\mathcal{P}(\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell)$, having $\ell + 1$ edges and connecting the origin to H_1 , along which passage times are as small as possible.

The first ℓ edges of this path lie in hyperplane H_0 , and the last one connects H_0 to H_1 . The path $\mathcal{P}(\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell)$ is defined as follows:

- Step 1 Among the $2(d-1)$ edges $(0, 0 \pm e_i)$ (with $2 \leq i \leq d$), we consider the ones with the p_1 smallest passage times. This gives p_1 first edges ending at some vertices that we denote by $x[1], \dots, x[p_1]$.
- Step 2 From each point $x_1[1], \dots, x_1[p_1]$, consider the $2(d-1) - 2p_1$ edges that are not collinear with one of the edges that have been already used in Step 1. Among these $p_1 \times (2(d-1) - 2p_1)$ distinct edges we choose the p_2 smallest ones. They end at some *distinct* vertices that we denote by $x_2[1], \dots, x_2[p_2]$.
- ...
- Step ℓ From each point $x_{\ell-1}[1], \dots, x_{\ell-1}[p_{\ell-1}]$, consider the $2(d-1) - 2p_1 - 2p_2 - \dots - 2p_{\ell-1}$ edges that are not collinear with one of the edges that have been already used in the previous steps. Among these $p_{\ell-1} \times (2(d-1) - 2p_1 - 2p_2 - \dots - 2p_{\ell-1})$ distinct edges we choose the p_ℓ smallest ones. They end at some *distinct* vertices that we denote by $x_\ell[1], \dots, x_\ell[p_\ell]$.

Step $\ell + 1$. Among the p_ℓ edges $(x_\ell[1], x_\ell[1] + e_0), \dots, (x_\ell[p_\ell], x_\ell[p_\ell] + e_0)$ we choose the one with the shortest passage time. We denote this path by $x_\ell \rightarrow x_{\ell+1}$.

Backtracking from $x_{\ell+1}$ to 0 defines our path: this is the only path

$$\mathcal{P}(\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell) : 0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_{\ell-1} \rightarrow x_\ell \rightarrow x_{\ell+1}$$

for which, for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$, the edge (x_i, x_{i+1}) is of the type $(x_i[r], x_{i+1}[s])$ for some integers r, s .

The passage time of \mathcal{P}

Now our main result states that, for large d , one can find among the paths $\mathcal{P}(\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell)$ a path whose passage time is of optimal order:

Theorem 1.

$$\limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{\log d} \inf_{\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell} \mathbb{E}[\tau(\mathcal{P}(\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell))] \leq \frac{e}{4}.$$

Proof. Let us first introduce a notation. Fix two positive integers $n \geq k \geq 0$ and take $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ a family of i.i.d. exponential random variables with parameter one. Pick uniformly one of the k smallest, we denote by $f(n, k)$ its expectation. The mean passage time of $\mathcal{P}(\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell)$ can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\tau(\mathcal{P}(\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell))] &= f(2(d-1), p_1) + f(p_1(2(d-1) - 2p_1), p_2) + \dots \\ &\quad + f\left(p_{\ell-2}(2(d-1) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} p_i), p_\ell\right) + f(p_\ell, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

From the well-known representation of the order statistics of $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$

$$(\mathbf{e}_{(1)}, \mathbf{e}_{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{(n)}) \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} \left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{e}_1, \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_n \right).$$

one readily deduces that

$$f(n, k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{1}{n-j} \leq \frac{k+1}{2(n-k)},$$

which implies from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\tau(\mathcal{P}(\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell))] &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_1 + 1}{2(d-1) - p_1} + \frac{p_2 + 1}{2(d-1)p_1 - (2p_1^2 + p_2)} + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{p_\ell + 1}{2(d-1)p_{\ell-1} - (2p_{\ell-1} \sum_{i \leq \ell-1} p_i + p_\ell)} \right) + \frac{1}{p_\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

We asymptotically minimize the above right-hand side by introducing a positive integer A and taking $\ell = \lfloor \log d \rfloor - A$, and $p_i = \lfloor e^i \rfloor$. We obtain

$$\limsup_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d}{\log d} \inf_{\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell} \mathbb{E}[\tau(\mathcal{P}(\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell))] \leq \frac{e}{4} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{e}{e-1} e^{-A}} \right)$$

which gives the desired bound when A grows to infinity. \square

If we use the same procedure to build a path from H_1 to H_2 , H_2 to H_3, \dots, H_{n-1} to H_n , the family of passage times of these n paths is i.i.d. by construction. It then follows from the law of large numbers that

$$\limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \mu \frac{d}{\log d} \leq e/4 = 0.679\dots$$

Comments on the result

1. We obtain a short and constructive proof of the bound $\mu \leq c^{\text{st}} \log d/d$. We are however not able to achieve Dhar's optimal bound with the constant $1/2$. The latter was obtained with a recursive argument applied to B_t , but cannot provide for an effective path going to H_n .
2. Kesten's original proof of the existence of a path whose time constant is less than some constant (11 in his proof) times $\frac{\log d}{d}$ was also non constructive. However, it indicates that a path of length $\log d$ achieves this optimal order. This coincides with our choice of ℓ in the proof of Theorem 1. If one restricts the scope to paths of length 3 ($\ell = 2$), one already gets an interesting bound i.e.

$$\limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} d^{\frac{2}{3}} \inf_{p_1, p_2} \mathbb{E}[\tau(\mathcal{P}(2, p_1, p_2))] \leq C$$

which proves that, in dimension large enough, the horizontal speed is bigger than the diagonal speed, which has been proved to be of order \sqrt{d} (see next section). More generally, optimizing $\mathbb{E}[\tau(\mathcal{P}(\ell, p_1, \dots, p_\ell))]$ for a *fixed* ℓ leads to a bound $\mu(d) \leq C/d^{\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}}$.

3. Our result could be extended to a large class of distributions over the passage times, provided one has a good upper bound for $f(n, k)$. This can be done if τ has a first moment and a nice density near zero, not null at zero (such assumptions on τ were considered by Kesten).

3 Discussion on the diagonal speed and the limiting shape

Richardson [7] proved that B_t grows linearly and has a limit shape: there exists a non-random set $B_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ such that, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left((1 - \varepsilon)B_0 \subset \frac{B_t}{t} \subset (1 + \varepsilon)B_0 \right) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 1.$$

(Richardson didn't exactly deal with first passage percolation but with a class of discrete growth processes, including Eden's growth process.) The convergence also holds almost surely, the most general result is due to Cox and Durrett [1].

The shape B_0 appears to be a ball of a certain norm, which is not explicit. Eden and Richardson observed on simulations that B_0 looks circular in dimension two (though, they only performed the simulations up to a few hundreds vertices in B_t). Kesten has shown that, surprisingly enough, this is not the case for FPP with exponential passage times, at

least when $d > 650000$. We conclude this paper by short arguments showing that B_0 is not the euclidian ball when $d \geq 35$. Let us denote by μ^* the "diagonal" time constant¹:

$$\mu^* = \lim_{\text{a.s.}} \frac{T(\mathcal{J}_n)}{n},$$

where $\mathcal{J}_n = \{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_d = n\sqrt{d}\}$. Kesten ([5] Th.8.3) observed that $\mu^* \geq 1/2e\sqrt{d} = 0.184.../\sqrt{d}$ which, compared to $\mu = \mathcal{O}(\log d/d)$, gives that $\mu < \mu^*$ if d is large enough, yielding that B_0 is not the Euclidean ball. Carrying his argument a little further, we obtain a slightly improved bound for μ^* :

Theorem 2. *For all $d \geq 2$,*

$$\mu^* \geq \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_\star^2 - 1}}{2\sqrt{d}} \approx \frac{0,3313...}{\sqrt{d}} \quad (2)$$

where α_\star is the non null solution of $\coth \alpha = \alpha$.

Proof. The proof is elementary, it mainly consists in bounding the probability that a fixed path going from the origin to \mathcal{J}_n has small passage times.

We denote by $D_k^{(n)}$ the set of self-avoiding paths of length k (in the sense that they do not run twice through the same edge) starting from zero and hitting \mathcal{J}_n for the first time at time k . Because of self-avoidingness, passage times are independent along such a path. Fix a real number $x > 0$, since a path from the origin to \mathcal{J}_n has at least $n\sqrt{d}$ edges,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(T(\mathcal{J}_n) \leq nx) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\text{there exists } k \text{ and a path } \mathcal{P} \text{ in } D_k^{(n)} \text{ s.t. } \tau(\mathcal{P}) \leq nx) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq n\sqrt{d}} D_k^{(n)} \times \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(k, 1) \leq nx), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\Gamma(k, 1)$ is a Gamma($k, 1$) random variable. The following estimate is straightforward:

$$\mathbb{P}(\Gamma(k, 1) \leq a) \leq (ae/k)^k.$$

Lemma 3. *For $k \sim \alpha n\sqrt{d}$ with some constant $\alpha \geq 1$,*

$$\text{card}(D_k^{(n)}) \sim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (2d)^k \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi n\sqrt{d}}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^{(\alpha+1)/2\alpha} (\alpha-1)^{(\alpha-1)/2\alpha}} \right)^k.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. We evaluate the number of (non necessarily self-avoiding) paths of length k which start from the origin and hit \mathcal{J}_n for the first time at time k . Such a path

$$\mathbf{S} : 0 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S_k$$

is seen as one sample of the standard symmetric random walk in \mathbb{Z}^d . Its projection $\mathbf{X} = (0, X_1, \dots, X_k)$ on the axis $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_d$ is a symmetric one-dimensional

¹We do not use the convention of Kesten [5] for the definition of μ^* , yielding to a different factor of \sqrt{d} between his statement and ours.

random walk with increments $\pm 1/\sqrt{d}$. Applying Th.9.1 in [6] and the Stirling formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} (2d)^{-k} D_k^{(n)} &= \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{S} \text{ hits } \mathcal{J}_n \text{ for the first time at } k) \\ &= \frac{n\sqrt{d}}{k} \binom{k}{(k+n\sqrt{d})/2} 2^{-k} \\ &\sim \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi n\sqrt{d}}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^{(\alpha+1)/2\alpha} (\alpha-1)^{(\alpha-1)/2\alpha}} \right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Going back to (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(T(\mathcal{J}_n) \leq nx) &\leq 2 \sum_{k \geq n\sqrt{d}} (2d)^k \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi n\sqrt{d}}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^{(\alpha+1)/2\alpha} (\alpha-1)^{(\alpha-1)/2\alpha}} \right)^k (nxe/k)^k, \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k \geq n\sqrt{d}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi n\sqrt{d}}} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{d}xe}{(\alpha+1)^{(\alpha+1)/2\alpha} (\alpha-1)^{(\alpha-1)/2\alpha}} \right)^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = k/n\sqrt{d}$. This sum decays exponentially provided that

$$x < \frac{1}{2e\sqrt{d}} \sup_{\alpha > 1} \{ (\alpha+1)^{(\alpha+1)/2\alpha} (\alpha-1)^{(\alpha-1)/2\alpha} \}.$$

This supremum is attained for the unique non null solution α_* of $\coth \alpha = \alpha$. It is equal to $e\sqrt{\alpha_*^2 - 1}$. Theorem 2 then follows from the Borel-Cantelli Lemma. □

Corollary 4. *In dimension $d \geq 35$, the limiting shape is not the Euclidean ball.*

Proof. Combining Theorem 2 with Dhar's numerical computations we obtain for $d = 35$ that $\mu(35) \leq 0.93 \log(2d)/2d < 0.3313/\sqrt{d} \leq \mu^*(35)$. □

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Olivier Garet who kindly introduced us to the subject, and for very instructive comments on a preliminary version of this paper. We warmly thank Deepak Dhar for explaining us the lower bound in [3]. The second author would like to thank ANR project MEMEMO for financial support.

References

- [1] J.T.Cox and R.Durrett. Some limit theorems for percolation processes with necessary and sufficient conditions. *Ann. Probab.* 9 (1981), no. 4, 583–603.
- [2] J.T.Cox and R.Durrett. Oriented percolation in dimensions $d \geq 4$: bounds and asymptotic formulas. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 93 (1983), no. 1, 151–162.
- [3] D.Dhar. First passage percolation in many dimensions. *Physics Letters A* 130 (1988) no.4-5, 308–310.

- [4] M.Eden. A two-dimensional growth process. (1961) *Proc. 4th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Prob.* Vol. IV pp. 223–239 Univ. California Press.
- [5] H.Kesten. *Aspects of first passage percolation*. École d’été de probabilités de Saint-Flour, XIV—1984, 125–264, Lecture Notes in Math., 1180, Springer, Berlin, (1986).
- [6] P.Révész. *Random walk in random and non-random environments*. World Scientific Publishing Company, 2d edition (2005).
- [7] D.Richardson. Random growth in a tessellation. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 74 (1973), p.515–528.

Olivier COURONNÉ	Nathanaël ENRIQUEZ	Lucas GERIN
ocouronn@u-paris10.fr	nenriquez@u-paris10.fr	lgerin@u-paris10.fr

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-OUEST, Laboratoire MODAL’X
 200 avenue de la République, 92001 NANTERRE.